

## **Task and Finish Group Modernisation of Adult Social Care**

### **Summary of Consultation Results**

The consultation period for all proposals reviewed by the Task and Finish group ended on the 19 October 2010. The Task and Finish group received consultation information up to and including the 3 October 2010 with the intention of adding any further consultation data into the report when received at the Overview and Scrutiny Management Board. The information below is a summary of all consultation data received with regard to the proposals for the Modernisation of short break services for people with a learning disability, the Fairer Contributions Policy, charging within a personalised system and the Modernisation of older peoples' services.

### **Modernisation of short break services for people with a learning disability**

#### **Consultation Process**

- The consultation process began on the 26<sup>th</sup> July and ended 19<sup>th</sup> October.
- Three consultation events were held for family carers on the 5<sup>th</sup> & 10<sup>th</sup> August and the 7<sup>th</sup> September. During the consultation an independent person arranged by the council was present to ensure the process was carried out fairly and that people's views and opinions were recorded.
- Independent advocates attended the consultation events for example the Older Carers Advocacy Project.
- Letters were sent out to all family carers who had not attended the consultation sessions advising them of the last consultation date in September and offering them opportunity of other sessions or 1:1 appointments if this was not convenient.
- In order to ensure that service users were fully engaged in the process the Council commissioned a dedicated event which was held on the 9<sup>th</sup> August at the Plymouth Guildhall. The session was facilitated by a theatre group using the 'Play Back Theatre' model of consultation, proven to be highly effective in engaging people with learning disabilities to express their thoughts and views through the use of drama. 22 people with learning disabilities were successfully engaged and able to contribute during this consultation event. Total communication (using photographs, symbols and objects of reference to help people who cannot read) was also used to record people's views during the session.
- The 'Plymouth People First' Self-Advocacy Service have been involved in supporting people with learning disabilities speak up for themselves,

helping to interpret information and represent the views of people not able to attend sessions.

- The Learning Disability Partnership Board (which includes service user and family carer representation and a range of key stakeholders from the independent and statutory sector) received a presentation of the Short Breaks Cabinet paper on 21<sup>st</sup> July 2010. An update about the consultation process was presented on the 15<sup>th</sup> September 2010. It was agreed that a separate reference group of people with learning disabilities would look at the consultation process and confirm that it had been carried out properly.
- Following the consultation events the independent person has contacted/visited individual family carers at home where they have requested
- A PCC webpage link for comments has been available for stakeholders

### **Consultation Results**

At the end of the consultation period 77 completed questionnaires had been received out of a total of 168 that were sent out. This was broken down into 59 responses from people with learning disabilities and 18 from family carers.

11 family carers that completed the forms stated that they did not like the ideas for modernisation. However within the forms returned from people with learning disabilities 20 indicated that they did not like the ideas proposed, a further 17 people had said that they did like the ideas.

### **Emerging themes from questionnaires**

- I want Welby to stay open and it is a good place
- I like to see my friends
- I like the idea of the new flats
- I want more to do and to be able to stay up late
- I like the idea of staying with friendly families
- I would like more information about using vouchers

### **Emerging themes from family carers**

Carers are very concerned about the effects of the proposed changes on their lives, including being able to work, anxiety around other providers not knowing how to care for their relative.

- Carers would want to be reassured that the quality and safety of alternative provision is guaranteed.
- The older carers who responded said that they rely on a respite service and thought they may not be able to cope if it was not there.

## **Emerging themes from consultation sessions**

We arranged 3 consultation sessions for family carers on the 5<sup>th</sup> & 10<sup>th</sup> August and the 7<sup>th</sup> September. 1 session took place for people with learning disabilities on the 9<sup>th</sup> August 2010.

## **Emerging themes from family carers**

- Carers did not want Welby to close, improved services should continue on both sites.
- Carers need a range of flexible short breaks that they can rely on.
- Carers would like more information about self directed support and personalisation.
- Carers wanted to be reassured that there would be enough short break provision to cover both planned and emergency need.

## **1:1 visits or sessions with families**

There are a number of planned 1:1 visits by the independent person before the end of the consultation period which will feed into the final report.

## **1:1 visits by a care manager**

A social worker has been visiting families and service users who are using Welby to discuss personal budgets and self directed support. These visits have been positively received and some families and service users have shown interest in developing bespoke individualised care and support rather than continue with their current arrangements. These discussions are in the early stages and will inform the future commissioning plans.

## **Fairer contributions policy, charging within a personalised system**

### **Consultation Process**

A 12-week consultation period was initiated following Cabinet's decision. The consultation period ended on 19<sup>th</sup> October 2010. The table below sets out the details of the process.

<b>Method</b>	<b>Quantity</b>	<b>Response to date</b>
Postal questionnaires and information pack for service users and carers	3123 questionnaires and information pack sent to service users currently in receipt of a social care community service	546
Telephone response line	Number given out in information pack	57 calls received and responded to
Dedicated consultation page on council website with facility for email responses	101 "hits" noted on website report	
Postal questionnaires and information pack for service providers, consumer interest groups and other stakeholders	40 questionnaires and information pack sent to service providers, consumer interest groups and other stakeholders	1
1:1 visits	Visits offered to individuals following calls to telephone line	4
Consultation events	Focus group sessions offered in information pack sent to service users who were invited to use telephone line to register interest	11

### **Consultation Results**

The responses to date have been categorised into key themes as set out below:

#### **General Issues in relation to Social Care Provision**

- Social care should be free at the point of delivery as individuals had contributed to tax and National Insurance all their lives. They should not have to pay towards social care costs. This was an issue outside of the scope of the consultation.

- Importance of disability related benefits due to the increased cost of living for those with disabilities
- Concern that people with savings are penalised for being prudent by having assets taken into account in relation to charging

### **Specific Issues in response to the consultation questions**

- The majority of responders (57%) felt the council should remove Disability Related Benefits/Disability Related Expenses from the financial assessment process.
- However there were significant views expressed by the majority that people with disabilities should have an extra allowance for the costs associated with disabilities and felt their expenses should be taken into account but their benefits not included as income (The council cannot do this under current DH rules)
- The majority of responders (37%) agreed that where people would afford to pay a contribution that this should be against 100% of the personal budget. However this was not the case for people with a Learning Disability (or their carers) with a majority of responders (61%) wanting the council to subsidise services for them irrespective of ability to pay. 31% of respondents disagreed that contributions are set against 100% of the personal budget and 32% of those who responded did not express a view.
- The majority of responders (48%) felt that the council should take a phased approach to increased charges for people who may have to contribute more for their care as a result of any changes to the contributions policy
- This policy area is particularly complex and considerable attention was taken in trying to simplify the questions and provide support to help people understand the implications. As a result we have recorded any comments that people made about accessibility of the issues. 5% of all responders reported some difficulty in understanding the questions. In the majority of cases contact was made with individuals to assist.

## **Modernisation of older peoples' services**

### **Consultation process**

At the start of the consultation process there were 8 permanent residents at Frank Cowl, 12 older people accessing short stay beds and 35 staff with a range of roles including domestic, kitchen care and management.

The following is a synopsis of the consultation:

- The consultation process started on the 26<sup>th</sup> July and will end 19<sup>th</sup> October in line with best practice guidelines.
- A series of three consultation events have been arranged at Welcome Hall Devonport to accommodate up to 25 people per event,
- A webpage link for comments has been set up
- Emails and letters have gone out to key external stakeholders such as older peoples groups, Age Concern, NHS Plymouth and LINKS.
- Letters explaining the consultation have been given to the current service users after telephone contact had been made to families to see if they wished to be present.
- In total 80 people received letters and questionnaires to include the 60 people that had used the service over the last 12 months.
- A further 30 questionnaires have been placed at Frank Cowl and approximately 10 taken down to the local Devonport Regeneration Company.
- Relatives of the permanent residents have been offered opportunities to express their views and those relatives who had not expressed any feedback and had permanent relatives at Frank Cowl were contacted during September again to make offers of one to one meetings.
- During the consultation an independent officer arranged by the Council was present to ensure the consultation process was carried out fairly and took opinions into account and 1:1 visits at the convenience of families have been offered.
- An advocate from Plymouth Highbury Trust has been to see all the Frank Cowl residents and recorded their views.
- During September letters and consultation questionnaires went to other key stakeholders including Devonport Regeneration Company to try to engage the wider community. During September a further letter will be

sent again to the relatives of the 8 permanent clients to offer further one to one meetings with the independent consultant and remind people of the end of the consultation period.

- A consultation room has been set up which shows the new Devonport Extra Care Scheme plans so that residents and staff are informed about the new development due to open in January 2011.
- Staff at Frank Cowl will be given the opportunity to visit an extra care scheme during September.
- Formal consultation with staff is not appropriate at this stage as no long-term decision has been made about the future of Frank Cowl House.

### **Consultation results**

#### **Written Questionnaires**

To date we have received 8 completed questionnaires out of a possible 80. The returned forms indicate that people who have stayed at Frank Cowl House are generally happy with the service they received. Additional comments collated from the questionnaires include:

- 1 respondent felt that there should be an increase in short stay residential facilities where people can be supported to make a decision about their long term future when they are unable to stay in their own home.
- 1 respondent was unhappy about their stay because of the lack of privacy and outdated facilities.
- 1 respondent felt that there was not enough staff and that he felt his care was rushed.
- 1 respondent thought that there should be permanent places available for people to prevent loneliness and did not support the closure of Frank Cowl House.
- There was praise for the care provided by staff.
- More extra care schemes should be developed to give choice.
- There should be an increase in short stay availability on discharge from hospital to aid recuperation
- There should be an increase in choice of types of care provision, on returning home after hospital.

#### **Consultation Events**

Three events were arranged at a local venue on the 10<sup>th</sup>, 13<sup>th</sup> and 18<sup>th</sup> August. At the first event two residents were represented by their families. There was a mixed response from this event in that one family carer felt that the service at Frank Cowl House was not of a good standard and had mixed views about the time their husband stayed at the unit.

The second resident was represented by family who were very vocal about wanting their relative remaining at Frank Cowl House but acknowledged that Extra Care Housing would be a good alternative to residential care.

The final two events did not have any attendees.

### **1: 1 Advocacy**

To ensure that we have captured all the views from both the long and short stay residents we commissioned an advocate from Plymouth Highbury Trust to visit and gather their wishes and views. These have been recorded on an individual basis.

Emerging themes from this piece of consultation include the following:

- Residents all wish to stay at Frank Cowl House.
- 2 people would consider option of extra care housing

### **1:1 visits to families**

An independent officer has been commissioned to ensure that we approach the consultation in a fair and transparent way. Families and relatives who have been unable to attend the consultation sessions have been offered a visit at their convenience. To date we have offered this to all families and the officer has completed visits to 2 families to gather their response. Indications so far are that the 2 families contacted did not want a change to their current arrangements.

### **Visits to Extra Care Housing**

To date all permanent residents have declined to visit extra care housing however staff visits had taken place in September.

### **Response from Age Concern and Senior Citizens Forum**

" would be concerned about the potential loss of a local facility in particular the loss of local respite as Devonport is set to grow with more housing for older people" (*Chair of Senior Citizens Forum*)

"it would be a sad loss and a reduction in choice for older people, how easy would it be for people to find short term placements within the private sector as this is not always viable for private sector homes." (*Age Concern*)